TOWNSHIP OF EVESHAM

Planning Board Minutes 7:00 P.M.

October 17, 2019

Municipal Building

Call to Order

Vice-Chairman Parikh made the call to order at 7:05 p.m.

Flag Salute

Statement of Conformance with Open Public Meetings Act

Vice-Chairman Parikh made the statement of Conformance with the Open Public Meetings Act and the Municipal Land Use Legislation

Roll Call

Present: Mayor Veasy, Parikh, Levenson, DiEnna, Higginbotham, Friedman, Cortland,

Marrone

Also present: Norman, Furey, Snee, Darji, Boult,

Absent: Mehigan, Menichini, Maratea

Continuation of Scheduled Matters

None

Unfinished/New Business

1. AeroHaven Solar Prelim & Final Major Site Plan

PB19-11_

450 Kettle Run Road, Block 63, Lot 1 (EP Zone District)

Applicant is proposing to construct a ground-mounted, ballast-type solar array on a portion of closed landfill with a pole supported array outside capped limits of landfill and an infiltration basin

David Frank, Attorney

Chris Norman, Board Solicitor

- Maxwell Peters sworn in
- Andrea DiBernardis sworn in

Exhibits

A-1 Aerial Project Site dated October 17, 2019

A-2 Existing Conditions / submitted Plans dated July 12, 2019

A-3 Proposed Layout Grading Sediment Control Plan dated July 12, 2019

David Frank, Applicants Attorney

- Began describing the site of the application
- Landfill; 2ft soil cap

- Geocomposite clay cap maintained
- Owned by Owens Corning
- Paragon partner; continues onsite maintenance
- Exhibit A1 shows property line around the site; Kettle Run Road, 1600ft north
- Odd shape lot; 1628 sq. ft., 45.9 acres
- EP zoning district
- To the north, previously site of Aerohaven airport
- Vacant wooded area east
- Fellowship Alliance Camp west
- Residential area south
- Perimeter / property lines of site
- Landfill central portion
- 11 acres
- Geocomposite clay cap
- Clear fill
- 6 inches of top soil and seed
- Stone access road for maintenance vehicles
- Wooded perimeter of site
- Evergreen and deciduous trees; visual buffer around landfill
- Top elevation of the landfill is 122-138 feet high
- North 138'; slope lower 122'
- 25ft height differential
- N-S grading contour lines
- Series of swales to bring stormwater flow south of site
- Exhibit A3 shows the proposed solar grids
- Southerly facing
- Renewable energy project
- Total area of 22 acres
- 4.72 megawatts electricity
- Front yard setbacks 288ft
- Side yard to north 32.9ft
- Side yard 204.87ft
- Rear 268.72
- Setbacks in conformance with zone
- Panels within landfill
- Series of dots proposing off landfill
- Ballast system concrete tubs not penetrating into landfill
- Racking system; 105 mile per hr. wind; snow weight
- NJDEP approval
- No detrimental effect
- Installed on angle
- Undulated to keep parallel to each other
- Equipment pad on plan
- 10x20ft cabinet

- Holds converter and electrical meter
- From pad power goes out to utility pole on Kettle Run
- Below ground conduit
- Stormwater design; not considered impervious structure on grass
- Infiltration basin off to side
- Basin will capture additional runoff in accordance with Township standards
- Went through Pinelands Commission for approval
- Will reseed with low growth seeds so doesn't affect panels
- Low maintenance
- Monthly and quarterly in summer
- Covered in grass on site and perimeter; same as now
- Not much maintenance on site
- Maintenance of the panels is monitored off-site
- 2-4x per year may replace panel
- No parking on site
- No landscaping or lighting proposed
- Only light may be on equipment pad
- No signage proposed
- Awaiting NJDEP approval; circulation requirement
- Pinelands won't approve until NJDEP approves

Leah Furey Bruder, Planner

- Review letter dated September 16, 2019
- Proposed permitted use
- MLUL granted
- Addressed majority of questions
- Means to enable renewable energy production
- Streamline this type of application
- Will applicant lease the property
- Applicant's Attorney responded Long Term lease with Owens Corning
- Explain how inverter in shed conduit to pole system
- Power generated from site is enough to energize 570 homes
- DC power converter back
- Mr. Parikh stated that it would be stored in battery and released back when there is no sun
- Multiple ways to store
- Not proposing any storage on site
- Applicant's Attorney said it will be PJM Greek controller
- Acceptance by PJM for electricity to their grid they will control
- Need balance and interconnection typically at sub distribution level
- Will there be any noise from the inverter
- Mr. Peters responded there is a hum when close by
- Can't hear the hum over cars or birds
- Not at night, no sun no noise

- 25-30 year expected life span
- No tree removal required
- Will remove waste

Rakesh Darji, Township Engineer

- Review letter dated September 12, 2019
- Waiver of traffic impact report agreed to; no need
- Testimony on how long project will take, number of employees, hours, etc.
- Andrea DiBernardis stated she was project development manager for AC Power with BS degree; Masters from Penn State for solar energy
- Was accepted as expert
- Typically 3-6 months long project
- Concrete trucks and pickup trucks; larger vehicles for equipment deliveries
- No vents, no wells, no monitoring on existing site
- Only minor mowing; no erosion
- Stormwater management basin; requires maintenance plan in perpetuity
- Applicant's Attorney was amenable but not perpetual
- Condition in resolution as long as existence of life span of drainage basin

Eric Snee, Environmental Engineer

- Review letter dated September 16, 2019
- Applicant objected to cultural resource survey
- No objections
- Environmental Impact Report provided; no objections
- Main concerns DEP oversight of landfills
- Approvals for soil conservation
- Condition of Approval to get all outside permit approvals and documents
- Pinelands typically demand 300ft buffer near wetlands or provide waiver
- Solar panels are being installed 300ft from wetland buffer
- No vegetation removal
- All work within previously disturbed land on site
- Certificate of filing compliance from Pinelands
- Applicant's Attorney agrees to all approvals required being submitted

Public Comment

- Deb Larson; 486 Kettle Run Road
- Sworn in
- Lives on Block 63 Lot 2.01 and Mother in laws land behind her
- Masters degree; registered nurse
- Credible and reliable sites for research
- Remediation
- EPA Official from Owens Corning; secure the site in perpetuity
- Asbestos landfill
- Airborne causes Mesothelioma, Asbestosis

- Concern project has least effect
- Homeowners health
- No detriments to town
- Cap depth now since years of erosion and now ballast on top
- Reports on the amount of soil placed there
- Study to assure ground monitor racks will not pierce
- How many solar farms are on asbestos landfills
- Protect family and value of land
- Buffer is not visually isolated; can see it
- Do not want to look at signage
- Will there be a glare off the solar panels
- High voltage signs on fence
- Lease agreement 25 years
- Have there been studies on carcinogen; panels run off
- Lead, Cadmium, toxic chemicals on the panels
- Reports rainwater can flush out chemicals; even if not broken
- Photovoltaic panel; how do you dispose of them
- Reports on how to monitor natural events; hailstorm, hurricane
- Contingency plan if it goes bankrupt
- Toxic mess left behind
- Environmental concerns; sanctuary for many wild life
- Endangered rattlesnakes, creek runs along property
- Turtles, birds, eagle making comeback
- Deer, fox groundhogs, owls, raccoons, turkeys, and families of dogs
- Applicant's attorney advised this is not a Use Application; therefore not standards of MLUL
- Chairwoman agreed but asked that Attorney address public concerns to help them understand
- Applicant's Attorney stated the systems are highly regulated objects
- Stream of commerce
- Concerns appear legitimate; no real issues with panels
- Proof burden; would like to help understand but not prepared to answer on these comments
- Mr. Peters advised never heard of chemicals leaking out of panels
- If panel breaks, off-site monitoring will be notified and address immediately
- Species concerns addressed with Pinelands and found no evidence of endangered species
- Landfill Cap is subject of ongoing maintenance and maximum loading and weight is allowed
- Snow loads, wind loads; maximum force push down and allowable; 1000 lbs. per sq. ft.
- Well below maximum with this application
- Nothing to crack the cap
- No high voltage signs; only no trespassing; danger warning signs

- No anti-reflection coating
- Absorbs suns energy; absorbs power doesn't reflect it
- Electromagnetic transformer components are to code with township
- Applicant's Attorney doing for 20 years
- No legal mechanism under MLUL; closure bonds
- Useful life post bond
- In all other developments reach conclusion; will have to be removed
- Study cost of removal vs. left at facility
- Value to recycle
- 30ft trees but not measured
- Foliage provides adequate screening
- 2nd floor window if really looking for it; may see panel

John Ruhl, 6 Yorkshire

- Sworn in
- Advantage for Evesham
- Why would it be approved
- What is the advantage
- Why on southside; the contained area and not the North where there is no asbestos
- Why contaminated area
- Scares hell out of me
- Watched firsthand when they came to do clean up in space suits and it was frightening
- I see the entire mount
- Walk to my home and see it
- Southern end of creek
- Also concerned about leakage into the creek
- 2ft or 10ft; disturbing the land; doesn't matter how far down
- Adjacent to closed landfill; denied a sports complex
- Kettle Run Road concern; so many buses go down road
- People and buses fly down
- Truck coming out of site could cause horrific accident
- Not viable to me
- Very concerned about this; a lot of variables here
- Township Engineer addressed water and wells
- Stormwater standpoint no increase in run off
- Leaking from solar panels; not expert in solar panel composition
- No increase in runoff; stormwater catches; basin treats
- Aquifer much deeper; 200ft
- Applicant's Attorney advised north side is owned by Municipality; not Owens
- Benefit to Evesham; not revenue from lease but taxable revenue
- Clear renewable energy for over 500 homes
- Public policy state of NJ to have these placed on landfills
- Landfills are not desirable for anything else

- Public policy to award opportunities like this to landfills and ground fills
- Significant benefit to Evesham and society in general
- DPU and MLUL permitted use regardless of zoning
- Environmental Engineer added condition of Pinelands; if operations cease; entire facility will be decommissioned
- Landfill cap final cover is 2ft of soil; waste still contained; solid material shouldn't move beyond limits
- Geocomposite layer; 2 layer fabric; non- penetrable

Ryan Greck, Pinelands Preservation Alliance

- Sworn in
- Looked at site plans
- Noticed number of panels built within 300ft of wetlands buffer
- Agree Board is to agree as long as within code
- 300ft listed in Evesham Code as well as Pinelands Code to address wetlands
- Construction to take place on area that is previously disturbed area
- Not sure why received a certificate of filing; which is not a certificate of approval
- Wetlands buffer in place; very sensitive habitats
- Habitat very important; sets dangerous precedent to disregard the 300ft buffer and knock down to 204ft
- Future projects to see this wasn't adhered to is a bad precedent

Benjamin Allen, 26 Winslow Homer Way

- Sworn in
- Speaking in support of project
- Landfill not going anywhere; why not utilize and maximize space to create renewable energies
- Great to use a space that can't be used for anything else to create green space seems like a prudent thing to do

Board Comment:

- Mr. Levenson asked applicant to address site security; area frequently violated by ATVs despite barriers how to keep vandalism out
- Mr. Peters responded that a 6ft tall hurricane fence will be maintained; fence around perimeter is in good condition
- Not aware of any visitors or ATVs around the site; no visible tracks
- Not proposing security cameras; no guards at gate
- Mr. Parikh asked if site is decommissioned basin will be backfill will that be level land there
- Township Engineer's recommendation is the maintenance would be specific to drainage basins so verbiage on deed restrictions say applicant would be maintained until basin is removed
- Mr. DiEnna asked if equipment pad would have substantial element; structure that sits on the soil with no other footing or foundation; ability to be secured weight of equipment will hold it in place

- Mr. Peters asked for clarification on equipment pad for converter or structure to hold up pad under rays
- Mr. DiEnna responded both; but equipment pad if elevation change first
- Mr. Peter said equipment pad is located off footprint of landfill
- Inverter will be located near the access drive
- Nothing will penetrate into the contaminated soil; not an area of the landfill
- Weight of pad will keep equipment stable
- Mr. DiEnna assured public there will be nothing that occurs as a result of this
 development will penetrate any contaminated soil or capping
- Mr. Peters agreed
- Mr. DiEnna asked Planner if were reasonable to consider some form of additional buffering
- Planner advised it would be reasonable except because it is a mound there isn't any landscaping they could plant at base of hill that would make any difference
- Can't identify spots from perspective; neighboring property
- Mayor Veasy asked for explanation on how cement is put on top of landfill; ride trucks
- Mr. Peters advised that the system is based on Game Change foundation system plastic tubs placed in specific locations around landfill
- Racking then put in; then add concrete
- Truck can pump concrete into each individual tub or another method is Bobcat with bucket on front to drive down each row
- Mayor Veasy asked if weight of trucks overdo the 1000 lbs per sq ft
- Mr. Peters said no
- Mayor Veasy asked to see where wetlands were located on plan
- Wetlands line runs along southern side of property; showed 300ft buffer and all existing within the buffer
- Applicant's Attorney asked Mr. Peters if all existing infrastructure closer to wetlands than the proposed arrays
- Mr. Peters said yes
- Are any of the proposed arrays within the wetlands buffer on anything that is not already part of the cap landfill
- Mr. Peters said there is a small area of rows but not any closer than capped landfill; adjacent; within perimeter roadway
- Mr. DiEnna asked Applicant's Attorney asked if there was anything nearby that
 he is familiar with that is a solar site he may have knowledge about to describe;
 mentioned solar site off Rt 206 with massive site and no buffering
- Applicant's Attorney stated that AC Power (parent of Aerohaven Solar) and he worked with Mr. Peters on project for solar on landfill in Delanco Twp recently
- Slightly different; not landfill
- Not involved on Rt 206 project
- Attorney on project in Pemberton Twp
- This project is different from all of those projects as this is not immediately on public road or one heavily traveled
- 288ft from Kettle Run Road

- How far away from houses on Yorkshire Court
- About 200ft to the landfill and panels are 204ft beyond that
- Significant foliage already there
- Applicant's Attorney explained other projects worked on
- Pemberton Twp near old airport; entirely invisible; 20 megawatts
- Similar setbacks as this project
- Mr. DiEnna clarified that he was asking for projects that had similar concerns with runoff and penetration into the landfill
- Chairwoman asked for a visual of what this was going to look like beyond line drawing; actual detail view
- Applicant did not
- Applicant's Attorney addressed Mr. DiEnna's question regarding stormwater; testimony from 2 engineering experts; testimony from 3 experts on landfill cap
- Experience with visual impact; designed specific landscaping features to neighbors 50ft from their property line and no existing buffer
- Applicant would be agreeable to Condition of Approval post construction to meet with Planner out at site in winter and will look on site and neighboring residents will gauge whether a benefit can be derived from planting additional evergreen trees
- Not permitted to plant them; landfill site; lots of limitations
- Within boundaries of what is permissible and with guidance of Professional will attempt to enhance the screen if generally necessary
- There is not specific buffer requirement for this use; not required
- Mr. Parikh asked if standing at the 122ft level, you are going to see something; not going to have 100ft tall tree; impossible to ask Applicant to agree; have to be practical
- Mr. Cortland referred to testimony from public that the visual was from their second story and there is nothing the Applicant can do to make this invisible from the second floor
- Chairwoman stated that the Applicant has graciously offered a solution to visit the site post construction to determine need if any

Motion to Approve PB19-11

Motion: Cortland Second: Parikh

Ayes: Veasy, Marrone, Parikh, Levenson, DiEnna, Higginbotham, Friedman, Cortland,

Marrone

2. Review of Marlton Executive Redevelopment Plan

Redevelopment Plan for "Marlton Executive Redevelopment Area" consisting of Lots 2.03, 2.04, and 2.05 in Block 36

Leah Fury Bruder, Planner

Leah Furey Bruder, Planner

• Presented Referral of the Marlton Executive Redevelopment Plan

- Planning Board responsible for undertaking investigation to determine site is in need of redevelopment; April 2019 approved for this site
- Township Council designated three (3) lots in need of redevelopment
- Non-condemnations site
- Referred for review and comment to Board; goes back to Council for adoption
- Covers three (3) lots
- 3 story office bldg. / 1 story office bldg. (Liberty Mutual Insurance) not part of this
- 3 additional properties
- Undeveloped part; vacant land
- Many fruitless efforts to develop
- 2.03, 2.04 & 2.05; redevelopment plan to see how they can be used
- Alternative scenarios apart from office development
- Town trying to resolve Affordable Housing Element
- Opportunity at this property
- Encouraged property owner to talk to Affordable Housing developer
- Evesham Family Apts. needed to move forward; credit financing
- Laying groundwork to make it possible; successful in winning
- Evesham Family Apts. already AH zoned; 2 other lots
- Multifamily Apt. lot and alternative zoning scenario for other
- Both age restricted; 1 assisted living facility 10% affordable housing
- Age restricted rental / apartments or independent living with payment in lieu of providing affordable units on site
- Set forth in Fair Share Housing Plan
- Maximize our credits; use existing housing stock to help
- Funding will come from this

Board Comments:

- Chairwoman asked if units in COA settlement were assumed to be put on these lots; if this did not happen, we'd have a gap
- Planner answered correct
- Chairwoman asked Board Solicitor if we need recommendation back to governing board
- Board Solicitor responded yes
- Determination if consistent with Master Plan
- Mr. DiEnna asked if on page 9 of the Redevelopment Plan xii "Utilities entering/exiting...." What is a short end of the building
- Planner answered most buildings are rectangular and this is the short ends / sides; away from public view – Adequately shielded with evergreens or green screen wall
- Mr. DiEnna concerned about Gateway Apartments
- Planner said this is a remedy for that

- Mr. DiEnna wants to be consistent going forward with redevelopment projects need to remember; shouldn't have been in front of building and address distance between building and curb is adequate for planting
- Planner addressed in all apartment projects and is an issue if many units
- Sometimes not enough room; green screen ivy grows up
- Definitely on the radar

Motion that Redevelopment Plan is consistent with Master Plan

Motion: Parikh Second: Cortland

Ayes: Veasy, Parikh, Levenson, DiEnna, Higginbotham, Friedman, Cortland, Marrone

Minutes from the 9-19-19

Motion: Cortland Second: Levenson

Ayes: Veasy, Parikh, Levenson, DiEnna, Higginbotham, Cortland

Resolutions

PB 19-09 OnSwitch Motion: Parikh Second: Cortland

Ayes: Veasy, Parikh, Levenson, DiEnna, Higginbotham, Cortland

Communications/Organization

Next Meeting: November 7, 2019

Meeting Adjourned at 9:00 p.m.